

Kohn Anomalies in Superconductors

Michael E. Flatté

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-4030

(May 14, 1993)

Abstract

I present the detailed behavior of phonon dispersion curves near momenta which span the electronic Fermi sea in a superconductor. I demonstrate that an anomaly, similar to the metallic Kohn anomaly, exists in a superconductor's dispersion curves when the frequency of the phonon spanning the Fermi sea exceeds twice the superconducting energy gap. This anomaly occurs at approximately the same momentum but is *stronger* than the normal-state Kohn anomaly. It also survives at finite temperature, unlike the metallic anomaly. Determination of Fermi surface diameters from the location of these anomalies, therefore, may be more successful in the superconducting phase than in the normal state. However, the superconductor's anomaly fades rapidly with increased phonon frequency and becomes unobservable when the phonon frequency greatly exceeds the gap. This constraint makes these anomalies useful only in high-temperature superconductors such as $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$.

74.25.Kc, 74.72.Dn

I. INTRODUCTION

The Kohn anomaly¹ occurs in a metal's phonon dispersion curves when a phonon's momentum spans the Fermi surface. Locating these anomalies through inelastic neutron scattering (on lead² or niobium,³ for example) and inelastic helium scattering (on a platinum surface⁴), accurately measures the Fermi surface, as well as the electron-phonon interaction. This Article consists of a derivation and discussion of a similar type of anomaly, with greater magnitude, which exists in a superconductor. This anomaly could prove useful in $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{.15}\text{CuO}_4$, whose Fermi surface shape generates heated debate.

A significant decay product of a phonon in a metal is a single electron-hole pair. The Kohn anomaly occurs because, for momenta smaller than the Fermi surface diameter, there exist single-pair excitations of the electron gas for the phonon to decay into, while for larger momenta there are none. This sharp change in the availability of decay products causes a nonanalyticity in the phonon's lifetime and, by a Kramers-Kronig relation, in its frequency. The sharpness originates in the discontinuous electron occupation at the Fermi surface at 0K. Thus, even in an interacting electron gas, with a quasiparticle weight less than unity, the anomaly persists. The discontinuity vanishes at finite temperature, resulting in a phonon anomaly smoothed over the momentum range $k_B T / \hbar v_F$, where v_F is the Fermi velocity. This smoothing is typically unobservable. However, in the high-temperature superconductor $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ at room temperature any Kohn anomaly would be substantially smoothed. This may explain the failure of a search⁵ for Kohn anomalies in that material. In order to lay the foundation for discussion of the Kohn anomalies in superconducting $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{.15}\text{CuO}_4$, this Article begins with the characteristics of that material's *metallic* Kohn anomalies.

A standard approximation in the derivation of the metallic anomaly is the use of the *static* pair response function. Neglecting the phonon frequency is suggested by the smoothness of the metal's electronic response function at frequencies much smaller than the Fermi energy ϵ_F . This smoothness persists at finite temperature, also justifying a static approximation. In most superconductors, however, the energy gap Δ produces substantial structure in the

response function at frequencies much less than phonon frequencies ($\Delta \ll \hbar\omega_D$). Thus the static electronic response differs qualitatively from that at a phonon's frequency.

In work primarily devoted to calculating the screening around a static impurity, Hurault⁶ suggested that a superconductor has no true Kohn anomaly. Since even at $0K$ the electronic occupation is continuous in the superconductor⁷, he argued that the metallic anomaly would appear smoothed over a momentum range equal to the inverse coherence length $\hbar/\xi = \Delta/\hbar v_F$. Testing this prediction proved impossible since the momentum resolution of inelastic neutron scattering would not suffice. For high-temperature superconductors, however, since $\hbar/\xi \sim .1\text{\AA}^{-1}$ the resolution is adequate.

A heuristic explanation for the survival of Kohn anomalies in phonon dispersion curves whose frequencies exceed 2Δ follows. Fig. 1a shows the minimum-energy electronic excitations (from now on, the adjective “single-pair” will be dropped) for a two or three-dimensional isotropic-gap superconductor (solid line) and normal metal (dashed line). In Fig. 1b the region near $q = 2k_F$ has been enlarged so that the solid and dashed lines can be distinguished. For the superconductor, in the region to the left of and above the solid line there exist excitations, so the electronic response function has a finite imaginary component. To the right of and below the solid line, however, no excitations exist, so the response function is real. A function must be nonanalytic on the border between a region where it is identically zero and a region where it is nonzero; the imaginary part of the response function is nonanalytic on this (solid) line. By Kramers-Kronig relations, the real part is nonanalytic there as well. Thus the superconductor must produce an anomaly in phonon dispersion curves at $q \sim 2k_F$ when $\hbar\omega(2k_F) > 2\Delta$. All phonons resolvable by neutron scattering in low-temperature superconductors satisfy this condition as do most in high-temperature ones.

For $2\Delta \lesssim \hbar\omega$, enhancement of the density of states near the Fermi surface due to superconductivity enlarges the superconductor's anomaly relative to that of the metal. The different character of the large-momentum excitations in a superconductor also augments the anomaly for $2\Delta \lesssim \hbar\omega$. However, for phonon energies $\hbar\omega$ far above 2Δ , the altered character of large-momentum excitations renders the anomaly unobservable. The observability

condition reduces to the resolvability of ξ^{-1} . For this reason, only high-temperature superconductors may have observable differences in their Kohn anomalies between the metallic and superconducting phases.

Figs. 1ab illuminate the two types of anomalies which occur in a superconductor. Anomalies in phonon dispersion curves in superconductors when $\hbar\omega = 2\Delta$ occur for zero momentum up to the Fermi surface diameter. They were proposed by Bobetic,⁸ elaborated by Schuster,⁹ and observed in Nb_3Sn ¹⁰ and niobium¹¹. Recently¹² it has been pointed out that in quasi-two-dimensional superconductors with anisotropic gaps, the frequency where these anomalies occur depends on the phonon momentum. This observation forms the basis of a method for measuring the energy-gap anisotropy in a high-temperature superconductor. The remainder of this Article will consider the anomalies induced in phonon dispersion curves crossing the solid line when $q \sim 2k_F$.

It is important to note that numerical calculations of the effect of d-wave and s-wave superconductivity on phonon lifetimes and frequencies have been performed for a nearest-neighbor tight-binding model¹³. It is possible to find features in these results which resemble the Kohn anomalies to be discussed in this article. However, the results presented here concern the location and analytic form of the anomalies, which were not discussed in Ref 13. Furthermore, the primary concern of Ref. 13 was to locate features identifying nesting, or which distinguish s-wave from d-wave gaps. I do not address nesting because the appearance of Kohn anomalies does not depend on nesting, merely the diameter of the Fermi surface. And, as I discuss in Section V, the analytic form of the Kohn anomalies is identical for s-wave and d-wave gaps for almost all phonon momenta.

II. NORMAL STATE

The conditions outlined in Section I for the observation of a Kohn anomaly in a superconductor (ξ^{-1} resolvable by neutron scattering and $2\Delta \lesssim \hbar\omega$) imply that $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F$ is of order 10^{-1} . This has implications for the momentum of the Kohn anomaly in the metal.

The metal's electron-hole response function,

$$P_M(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) - f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}})}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - \hbar\omega - i\alpha}, \quad (2.1)$$

depends on the ratio $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F$. Here $f(\epsilon)$ is the Fermi function and $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ the dispersion relation for the metal's electrons. The response in Eq. (2.1) is called the Lindhard function for a spherical Fermi surface in three dimensions.

$$\begin{aligned} P_M^{3D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = & -\frac{N^*}{4x} \left(2x - \frac{(1 - [x + \nu]^2)}{2} \ln \left| \frac{1 - x - \nu}{1 + x + \nu} \right| \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{(1 - [x - \nu]^2)}{2} \ln \left| \frac{1 - x + \nu}{1 + x - \nu} \right| \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{i\pi}{2} \left[\theta(1 - |x + \nu|)(1 - [x + \nu]^2) - \theta(1 - |x - \nu|)(1 - [x - \nu]^2) \right] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $x = q/2k_F$, $\nu = m\omega/\hbar q k_F$, N^* is the density of states at the Fermi surface, and θ is the Heavyside step function. In the limit $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F \rightarrow 0$,

$$P_M^{3D}(\mathbf{q}, 0) = -\frac{N^*}{4x} \left(2x - (1 - x^2) \ln \left| \frac{1 - x}{1 + x} \right| \right). \quad (2.3)$$

The location of the Kohn anomaly at the momentum $2k_F$ follows directly from the nonanalyticity of the right hand side of Eq. (2.3) at that momentum. Clearly from Eq. (2.2), however, at finite frequency the nonanalyticity takes place at

$$q_n = k_F \pm k_F \sqrt{1 - \frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_F}} \sim 2k_F \pm \frac{k_F}{2} \frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_F}. \quad (2.4)$$

Fig. 2 indicates the four types of extremal excitations which produce anomalies in the response function. Excitation (1) takes an electron from the Fermi surface and places it in a state $\hbar\omega$ above the Fermi surface on the other side of the Fermi sea. Excitation (3) takes an electron from a state $\hbar\omega$ below the Fermi surface and places it on the Fermi surface on the other side. For momenta greater than (1) or less than (3) these types of excitations do not exist. This explains the origin of the two solutions for q_n . For $\hbar\omega \approx 0$, excitations (1) and (3) are the same. The static approximation succeeds because the nonanalyticity has the same form for finite frequency as for zero frequency and because the differences in

q_n cannot be resolved. Excitations (2) and (4) concern the zero-momentum anomaly in a metal's response function which will not be discussed in this Article.

Fig. 3 shows $P_M^{3D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for various values of $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F$. These are plotted to indicate the changes in the anomalies' momenta due to finite frequencies. In a high-temperature superconductor, where the bandwidth may be less than an electron volt and the phonon energies are tens of meV, the splitting evident in Eq. (2.4) may be observable.

Another feature of the high-temperature superconductors is that their electronic structure is quasi-two-dimensional. In two dimensions the slope of the response function¹⁴ is discontinuous and divergent at q_n :

$$P_M^{2D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = -\frac{N^*}{2x} \left(2x - \text{sgn}(x + \nu)\theta(|x + \nu| - 1)\sqrt{(x + \nu)^2 - 1} \right. \\ \left. - \text{sgn}(x - \nu)\theta(|x - \nu| - 1)\sqrt{(x - \nu)^2 - 1} \right. \\ \left. - i\theta(1 - |x + \nu|)\sqrt{1 - (x + \nu)^2} + i\theta(1 - |x - \nu|)\sqrt{1 - (x - \nu)^2} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

The two-dimensional response contains stronger nonanalyticities than the three-dimensional response. Fig. 4 shows $P_M^{2D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for various values of $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F$.

Figs. 5 and 6 indicate the location of Kohn anomalies in $(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ space in the (100) and (110) directions for $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$, using the Fermi surface parametrizations of Hybertsen *et al.*¹⁵. The low-energy phonons are also plotted as the solid lines¹⁶. Every time a dispersion curve crosses one of these lines, a Kohn anomaly should appear. In the (100) direction the difference in momentum between the actual anomaly and the static anomaly may be visible in high-energy phonons. Unfortunately, a recent experiment⁵ looking for Kohn anomalies in $\text{La}_{1.9}\text{Sr}_{0.1}\text{CuO}_4$ was performed at temperatures too high to see this splitting ($k_B T > \hbar\omega$) and probably too high ($k_B T/\epsilon_F \sim 0.1$) to see anomalies at all.

III. KOHN ANOMALIES IN SUPERCONDUCTORS

A. $\hbar\omega < 2\Delta$

The disappearance of the Kohn anomaly in a superconductor was suggested by Hurault⁶ as a manifestation of Fermi surface smoothing in a superconductor. To explain this requires formal machinery. The quasiparticle description, due to Bogoliubov, provides the most convenient method of calculating the effect of the superconducting electron system on the phonons⁷. The quasiparticle creation and annihilation operators γ relate to the electron creation and annihilation operators c as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow}^\dagger &= u_{\mathbf{k}}c_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow}^\dagger - v_{\mathbf{k}}c_{-\mathbf{k},\downarrow} \\ \gamma_{-\mathbf{k},\downarrow} &= u_{\mathbf{k}}c_{-\mathbf{k},\downarrow} + v_{\mathbf{k}}c_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow}^\dagger.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Here

$$u_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad v_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad u_{\mathbf{k}}v_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\Delta}{2E_{\mathbf{k}}},\tag{3.2}$$

where $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta^2}$ is the energy added to the system by creating a quasiparticle of momentum $\mathbf{k}$. The Hamiltonian, expressed in quasiparticle operators, is then

$$H_o = \sum_{\mathbf{k},s} E_{\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k},s}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k},s}\tag{3.3}$$

and the ground state contains no quasiparticles.

A significant difference between the superconducting system and a normal system is the $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ function, which is the analogue of the Fermi occupation function $f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$ in a metal. At zero temperature $f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$ has a discontinuity at the Fermi momentum, while $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ smoothly falls to zero over a momentum range $(\hbar/\xi)$. $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$ are shown in Fig. 7. The Kohn anomaly arises from the discontinuity in the electron occupation, and as $f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$ becomes smoother due to increased temperature, the apparent anomaly becomes weaker¹⁷. Hurault suggested the smoothness of $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ due to superconductivity affected the Kohn anomaly the same way as the smoothness of $f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$ at finite temperature affected the anomaly. He predicted a “smoothing” of the Kohn anomaly over a momentum range of $(\hbar/\xi)$ and extracted this smoothing from the superconductor’s static response function.

However, this heuristic explanation needs to be reexamined in the light of the existence of anomalies for higher phonon frequencies. The Fermi surface sharpness cannot change as a function of frequency in the superconductor. Instead, the explanation for the smoothing of the Kohn anomaly at small frequencies must be due to the lack of *any* electronic excitations in the superconductor, at small *or* large momenta (as seen in Figs. 1a and 1b).

Calculating the non-analytic behavior of an anomaly is necessary to make this argument concrete. This calculation requires the superconducting electronic response function,

$$P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{E_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}} + \text{Re}(\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}}^*)}{2E_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}}} \right) \frac{-1}{E_{\mathbf{k}} + E_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}} - \hbar\omega - i\alpha}, \quad (3.4)$$

where the sum is over *all* $\mathbf{k}$ values. The parenthetical factor, called the coherence factor and denoted $C(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k})$, reaches its maximum of 1 for $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}$ at the Fermi surface. It behaves similarly to the occupation expression $f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) - f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}})$ in the normal metal's response function, Eq. (2.1), but while the occupation expression vanishes sharply as a function of $\mathbf{k}$ or $\mathbf{q}$, C decays to zero with a scale given by the inverse coherence length. For the rest of this section, the gap will be assumed isotropic. Section V will discuss anisotropic gaps.

The second factor of Eq. (3.4), the energy denominator, has poles for all excitations of the Bogoliubov quasiparticle sea. The imaginary part of $P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ consists of contributions from each of these poles (the coherence factor is real).

At zero frequency there are no excitations in the isotropic superconductor. Since there are no poles of the energy denominator, $P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ is real for all $\mathbf{q}$. The smoothness of the integrand in Eq. (3.4) with respect to $\mathbf{q}$ for all values of $\mathbf{k}$ forces the response function to be smooth with respect to $\mathbf{q}$.

This smoothness can be estimated in a simple way from the change of $P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ at $q = 2k_F$. In three dimensions the sum from Eq. (3.4) can be replaced by the following integral:

$$P_S^{3D}(\mathbf{q}, 0) = - \left(\frac{m}{2qk_F \hbar^2} \right) N^* \int_{-\epsilon_F}^{\infty} d\epsilon \int_{\frac{\hbar^2(k-q)^2}{2m}}^{\frac{\hbar^2(k+q)^2}{2m}} d\epsilon' \frac{EE' - \epsilon\epsilon' + \Delta^2}{2EE'(E + E')}. \quad (3.5)$$

Here $E = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2}$ and N^* is the density of states per unit energy at the Fermi energy in an otherwise identical material with $\Delta = 0$. This usually is the normal metal. The integral in Eq. (3.5) can be estimated near $q = 2k_F$ to yield a measure of the remnant of the anomaly:

$$2k_F \frac{\partial P_S^{3D}(\mathbf{q}, 0)}{\partial q} \Big|_{2k_F} / P_S^{3D}(2\mathbf{k}_F, 0) \sim 2 \ln \left(\frac{\Delta}{\epsilon_F} \right) \sim 2 \ln \left(\frac{2}{k_F \xi} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

When Δ vanishes, the logarithmically-divergent slope of the normal-metal response reemerges. That response, the Lindhard function, is Eq. (2.2).

For finite but small frequencies in the superconductor, the slope magnitude increases to

$$\left| 2k_F \frac{\partial P_S^{3D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\partial q} \Big|_{2k_F} / P_S^{3D}(2\mathbf{k}_F, 0) \right| \sim \ln \left(\frac{\epsilon_F^2}{\Delta^2 - (\hbar\omega/2)^2} \right). \quad (3.7)$$

This increase results from the overall decrease in all the energy denominators in Eq. (3.4), due to a finite driving frequency. That change increases the contribution of each virtual excitation to the response of the superconductor. The overall response of the superconductor also increases, so the relative magnitude of the slope does not change for small but finite frequency.

$$\left| 2k_F \frac{\partial P_S^{3D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\partial q} \Big|_{2k_F} / P_S^{3D}(2\mathbf{k}_F, \omega) \right| \sim 2 \ln \left(\frac{\Delta}{\epsilon_F} \right) \sim 2 \ln \left(\frac{2}{k_F \xi} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

In a quasi-two-dimensional superconductor a similar effect occurs. Instead of diverging as in Eq. (2.5), however, the slope magnitude reaches a maximum value of

$$\left| 2k_F \frac{\partial P_S^{2D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\partial q} \Big|_{2k_F} / P_S^{2D}(2\mathbf{k}_F, \omega) \right| \sim \left(\frac{\epsilon_F}{\Delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.9)$$

In one dimension the response-function magnitude reaches a maximum of

$$\left| P_S^{1D}(2\mathbf{k}_F, \omega) \right| \sim \frac{N^*}{4} \ln \left(\frac{\epsilon_F^2}{\Delta^2 - (\hbar\omega/2)^2} \right), \quad (3.10)$$

whereas in the normal metal it diverges logarithmically:

$$P_M^{1D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = N^* \left\{ \frac{1}{4x} \ln \left| \frac{(1-x)^2 - \nu^2}{(1+x)^2 - \nu^2} \right| + \frac{i\pi}{4x} [\theta(1 - |x + \nu|) - \theta(1 - |x - \nu|)] \right\} \quad (3.11)$$

These results are quite similar in implication to Hurault's. However, the phonon frequency regime $\hbar\omega < 2\Delta$ is unphysical in ordinary superconductors and rare in high-temperature superconductors. We now turn our attention to the more physical frequency regimes.

B. $2\Delta \lesssim \hbar\omega$

When the phonon energy exceeds the excitation gap, the superconductor recovers an anomaly. For $q > 2k_F$ the minimum energy quasiparticle mode creates two quasiparticles with momentum $q/2 > k_F$. Because both of these quasiparticles are created with a momentum greater than the Fermi momentum, this mode does not have an analogy in the normal state. The superconductor, therefore, has lower energy excitations at high q than the normal metal, as can be seen in Fig. 1b.

For a fixed $\hbar\omega > 2\Delta$ there are two regimes of q , separated by the solid line in Figs. 1a and 1b. For small q , $\text{Im}P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \neq 0$ because the minimum excitation energy is less than the driving frequency. For large q no excitable modes of the electron gas exist, so $\text{Im}P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = 0$. Therefore, the imaginary part of $P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, and by implication from the Kramers-Kronig relations the real part as well, cannot be analytic functions of q . The momentum $q_c(\omega)$ beyond which no modes of frequency ω or less exist is the anomaly's momentum. A *nonspherical* Fermi surface does not affect the analytic form of the anomalies. If the Fermi surface is known, the anomaly momenta in various directions can be calculated. I will now derive the form of the nonanalyticity of $P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ at $q = q_c(\omega)$.

The nonanalyticity in $P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ at $q = q_c(\omega)$ can be extracted by expanding the energy denominator in Eq. (3.4) around the anomaly's momentum:

$$E_{\mathbf{k}} + E_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}} = 2E_{\mathbf{q}_c/2} + \frac{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}(p^2 - q_c^2/4) + \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}(p^2 + q^2 + 2pq\cos\theta - q_c^2/4)}{2mE_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}/\hbar^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

This expansion is valid when the quantities in parenthesis are small compared to $\hbar\omega/2$, which will usually mean small compared to Δ . This expansion, therefore, is only valid for states $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}$ in a region of dimension $(\hbar/\xi)$ around the momentum $\mathbf{q}_c/2$. Consider the sum in Eq. (3.4) to be restricted to this region. An evaluation of that sum, which will follow and will be called $\tilde{P}_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, accurately gives $\text{Im}P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ and the nonanalytic part of $\text{Re}P_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ near the nonanalyticity at $q = q_c$.

Since $2E_{\mathbf{q}_c/2} = \hbar\omega$ and the coherence factor is smooth over a momentum $(\hbar/\xi)$, the sum can be written as the following integrals in one, two and three dimensions:

$$\tilde{P}_S^{1D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = -N^* \frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}} \frac{k_F}{4} C\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}\right) \int_{\frac{q_c}{2}-a}^{\frac{q_c}{2}+a} \frac{dp}{2p^2 - q_c^2/2 + q^2 - 2pq}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_S^{2D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = & -N^* \frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}} \frac{q_c}{8\pi} C\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}\right) \\ & \int_{\frac{q_c}{2}-a}^{\frac{q_c}{2}+a} \int_{\pi-\frac{a}{k_F}}^{\pi+\frac{a}{k_F}} \frac{dp d\theta}{2p^2 - q_c^2/2 + q^2 + 2pq \cos\theta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_S^{3D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = & -N^* \frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}} \frac{q_c^2}{8k_F} C\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}\right) \\ & \int_{\frac{q_c}{2}-a}^{\frac{q_c}{2}+a} \int_{\pi-\frac{a}{k_F}}^{\pi} \frac{dp d\cos\theta}{2p^2 - q_c^2/2 + q^2 + 2pq \cos\theta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where a is a cutoff of order ξ^{-1} .

Evaluating the integrals above in the limit $q \rightarrow q_c$ and defining $\tilde{q} = q - q_c$ yields the following forms for the response functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_S^{1D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = & -iN^* \frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}} \left[\frac{\pi k_F}{8\sqrt{-q_c \tilde{q}}} \right] C\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}\right), & \tilde{q} < 0, \\ = & -N^* \frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}} \left[\frac{\pi k_F}{8\sqrt{q_c \tilde{q}}} \right] C\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}\right), & \tilde{q} > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

$$\tilde{P}_S^{2D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = N^* \frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}} \frac{1}{4} \left[\ln \left| \frac{\tilde{q} q_c}{8a^2} \right| - i\pi\theta(-\tilde{q}) \right] C\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}\right), \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_S^{3D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = & -N^* \frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}} \left[P + i\pi \left(\frac{-\tilde{q} q_c}{32k_F^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] C\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}\right), & \tilde{q} < 0, \\ = & -N^* \frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}} \left[P + \pi \left(\frac{\tilde{q} q_c}{32k_F^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] C\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}\right), & \tilde{q} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Here θ is the Heavyside step function and P is an uninteresting constant. Only $\tilde{P}_S^{1D}(2\mathbf{k}_F, \omega)$ has been reported elsewhere¹³. The change in form of the integrals in Eqs. (3.13)-(3.15) when q passes through q_c causes the nonanalyticities in Eqs. (3.16)-(3.18). The forms of these nonanalyticities differ from those in the normal metal.

This primarily results from the different dispersion of the excitations with momentum near $q_c/2$ between the metal and superconductor. In a normal metal, for finite frequency, the anomaly's momentum connects electronic states with different velocities. One electronic state rests on the Fermi surface and one does not. In the superconductor the two quasi-particle states have the same velocity, causing an amplification of the density of states for $E_{\mathbf{k}} + E_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}} = \hbar\omega$ and a *stronger* nonanalyticity. The prefactor in Eqs. (3.13)-(3.15),

$$\frac{\hbar\omega}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left[\frac{2\Delta}{\hbar\omega} \right]^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.19)$$

is due to the square-root divergence near the Fermi surface in the superconducting density of states.

C. $\hbar\omega \gg 2\Delta$

For large phonon frequencies, the anomaly's momentum exceeds twice the Fermi momentum by well over $(\hbar/\xi)$. In this case, the small value of the coherence factor $C(\frac{\mathbf{q}_c}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{q}_c}{2})$ renders the anomaly undetectable. A remnant of the normal metal's Kohn anomaly still exists. Since all relevant excitations for this remnant are near the dashed line of Figs. 1ab, this situation is analogous to finite temperature in a normal metal. In the superconductor, phonons with momenta on both sides of the dashed line have zero-energy excitations, but their number decreases markedly, over a momentum range $(\hbar/\xi)$, upon crossing that dashed line.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

The actual size of the phonon anomalies can be estimated by including the response function in the phonon self energy in the standard way¹⁸ and then expanding about $\omega(2k_F) = \omega_o$:

$$\frac{\delta\omega(\mathbf{q})}{\omega_o} = \frac{\lambda\omega_o N^*}{2} \text{Re} \left[\frac{\tilde{P}_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega_o) - P_M(2\mathbf{k}_F, \omega_o)}{N^*} \right] \quad (4.1)$$

where λ is the dimensionless electron-phonon coupling constant. The linewidth is simpler to express:

$$\frac{\gamma_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega_o)}{\gamma_o} = \frac{\text{Im}\tilde{P}_S(\mathbf{q}, \omega_o)}{\text{Im}P_M(2\mathbf{k}_F, \omega_o)}. \quad (4.2)$$

In comparing anomaly magnitudes I will assume that λ in the superconductor equals the normal-metal value. Recently¹⁹ the average value of λ over the whole Brillouin zone has been

calculated to be 1.37. The value of N^* has been calculated²⁰ to be $0.3/eV$. Fig. 8 shows the real and imaginary part of the response function for a simple model of $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$. The anomalies evident in Fig. 8 are due to crossing the pair threshold surfaces (shown for $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$ in Fig. 9). Fig. 10 compares a phonon dispersion curve in the normal and superconducting state of $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$. Clearly the anomaly should be larger in superconducting $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$ than in metallic $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$. To show how the two-dimensional anomalies are much larger than the three-dimensional anomalies, Fig. 11 shows the metallic and superconducting response function for a three-dimensional spherical Fermi sea.

V. GAP ANISOTROPY

An anisotropic gap influences this anomaly only through the coherence factor,

$$C\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_c}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{q}_c}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{|\Delta_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}|}{E_{\mathbf{q}_c/2}}\right)^2, \quad (5.1)$$

which is independent of the phase of the gap.

So long as the gap is finite at $q_c/2$, the situation for anisotropic gaps is essentially the same as for isotropic gaps. A difference occurs when the momentum spanning the Fermi surface connects two nodes in the gap. For this situation the anomaly is weaker. This has been analyzed numerically by Marsiglio¹³.

VI. CONCLUSION

The vanishing of the Kohn anomaly for $\hbar\omega < 2\Delta$ results from the absence of electronic excitations at low energy in the superconductor rather than from a smoothing of the Fermi surface. The rapid decrease of the coherence factor of the minimum-energy excitation for $\hbar\omega \gg 2\Delta$ also eliminates the Kohn anomaly. A new regime exists when $2\Delta \lesssim \hbar\omega$; here superconductivity *enhances* the Kohn anomaly. An appropriate material to examine when looking for this effect would have phonon branches both above and below the excitation gap

at $q \sim 2k_F$, as well as a quasi-two-dimensional electronic structure. High- T_c superconductors like $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$ are such materials.

Since an extremely sensitive probe of surface phonons exists in thermal-energy-inelastic-helium scattering⁴, I remark that similar arguments to those presented in this paper may apply to surface phonons.

The anomalies discussed in this Article complete the catalogue of pair-production threshold anomalies, begun by Bobetic⁸ and Schuster,⁹ and elaborated for two-dimensional superconductors recently by this author¹².

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to acknowledge useful conversations with W. Kohn, D.P. Clougherty, and D.J. Scalapino. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY89-04035.

REFERENCES

- ¹ W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2**, 393, (1959).
- ² B.N. Brockhouse, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **128**, 1099, (1962).
- ³ R.I. Sharp, *J. Phys. C* **2**, 432, (1969).
- ⁴ U. Harten, J.P. Toennies, C. Wöll, G. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 2308, (1985).
- ⁵ A.S. Ivanov, *et al.*, *Soviet Journal of Low Temperature Physics* **17**, 695, (1991).
- ⁶ J.P. Hurault, *J. de Phys.* **26**, 252, (1965).
- ⁷ J.R. Schrieffer, *The Pairing Theory of Superconductivity*, Addison-Wesley, New York, 1964.
- ⁸ V.M. Bobetic, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, A1535, (1964).
- ⁹ H.G. Schuster, *Sol. St. Comm.* **13**, 1559, (1973).
- ¹⁰ J.D. Axe, G. Shirane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 215, (1973).
- ¹¹ S.M. Shapiro, G. Shirane, J.D. Axe, *Phys. Rev. B* **12**, 4899, (1975).
- ¹² M.E. Flatté, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 658, (1993).
- ¹³ F. Marsiglio, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 5419, 1993. F. Marsiglio, *Lattice Effects in High- T_c Superconductors* (Y. Bar-Yam, T. Egami, J. Mustre-de Leon, A.R. Bishop, ed.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1992, p. 157.
- ¹⁴ F. Stern, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **18**, 546, (1967).
- ¹⁵ M.S. Hybertsen, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 11068, (1990).
- ¹⁶ L. Pintschovius, *et al.*, *International Seminar on High-Temperature Superconductivity*, (ed. V.L. Aksenov, *et al.*), World Scientific, New Jersey, 1990.
- ¹⁷ M.T. Béal-Monod, *J. Phys. Chem. Sol.* **28**, 1261, (1967).

¹⁸ G.D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics*, Plenum Press, New York, 1990.

¹⁹ H. Krakauer, W.E. Pickett, R.E. Cohen, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 1002, (1993).

²⁰ D.A. Papaconstantopoulos, W.E. Pickett, M.J. DeWeert, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 211, (1988).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Minimum single-pair excitation energy in a two or three-dimensional superconductor (solid line) and normal metal (dashed line). Here $\Delta/\epsilon_F = 0.01$. (a) Full range of momentum q . (b) Closeup of the region near $q = 2k_F$.

FIG. 2. Four possible extremal excitations for a fixed ω . The two dashed lines are energy contour surfaces at $\hbar\omega$ below and above the Fermi surface, indicated by the solid circle. For momenta smaller than (1) and (2) there are no real single-pair excitations of the Fermi sea of this type. For momenta greater than (3) and (4) there are no single-pair excitations of this type.

FIG. 3. $-P_M^{3D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)/N^*$ for $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F = 0$ (solid line), 0.1 (dashed line), and 0.2 (dotted line). (a) Real part. (b) Imaginary part. The solid line is not visible in (b) because $\text{Im}P_M(q, 0) = 0$.

FIG. 4. $-P_M^{2D}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)/N^*$ for $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F = 0$ (solid line), 0.1 (dashed line), and 0.2 (dotted line). (a) Real part. (b) Imaginary part.

FIG. 5. Kohn anomalies for phonons with momenta parallel to the (100) direction in $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$. Points on the dashed line correspond to Kohn anomalies when phonon curves cross them. Solid lines are the low-energy phonons from Ref. 16. The dotted line indicated the momentum of the static anomaly.

FIG. 6. Same as Fig. 5 except for phonons with momenta parallel to the (110) direction.

FIG. 7. Occupation number $f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$ for a normal metal at $0K$ (dashed line) and the function $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ for a superconductor at $0K$ (solid line).

FIG. 8. The response function for a model of $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{.15}\text{CuO}_4$ with the correct curvature at the points where a vector in the (100) direction spans the Fermi surface. The Fermi velocity was taken from Ref. 15. The frequency is fixed at 18 meV. The dashed line is for the normal metal and the solid line is for the superconductor. The nonanalyticities in these curves correspond to momenta where a phonon at this frequency would cross a pair-production threshold surface shown in Fig. 9. (a) Real part. (b) Imaginary part.

FIG. 9. The pair-production threshold in the superconductor (dotted line) in the (100) direction is shown on the same graph as the threshold in the normal metal (dashed line, previously shown in Fig. 5). The gap magnitude is taken to be 7.5 meV. The four-pointed star indicates the momentum and energy of the superconductor's anomaly. The five-pointed star indicates the momentum and energy of the normal metal's anomaly.

FIG. 10. A phonon dispersion curve near 18 meV in the normal and superconducting state of $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{.15}\text{CuO}_4$. (a) Frequency. (b) Relative lifetime.

FIG. 11. Response function for a superconductor (solid line) and normal metal (dashed line) with a three-dimensional Fermi surface. $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F = 0.1$ and $\hbar\omega/2\Delta = 1.25$. (a) Real part. (b) Imaginary part.